UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,438	04/27/2006	Takuji Yoshimoto	0171-1271PUS1	3422
2292 7590 12/15/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/577,438	YOSHIMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Taofiq A. Solola	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 S</u>	eptember 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
· <del>-</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 3-6, 12-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• , ,	, ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)  All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	анель Аррисалоп				

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1, 3-15 are pending in this application.

Claims 7-11 are drawn to non-elected inventions.

Claim 2 is deleted.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-6, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al., Heterocyclics, (1987) Vol. 26(4), pp. 939-942, in view of Ganzorig et al., Jp. J. Appl. Phys. (1999), Vol. 38, pp. L1348-L1350.

Applicant claims a charge transporting material comprising compounds of formula 1 and an electron accepting substance and a hole accepting dopant.

#### Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP 2141.01)

Nakayama I, discloses the compounds can be polymerized as electroconductors, wherein R1-R4 are each H. X and Y are each thiophene ring. See pp. 939-941.

## Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP 2141.02)

The difference between the instant invention and that of the prior art of Nakayama et al., is that applicant claims using the compounds as charge transporting material and adding and adds electron accepting substance and/or a hole accepting dopant while Nakayama et al., teach the compounds can be polymerize for electroconductors.

Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP 2142.2413)

However, using them in charge transporting material is inherent in their property as being polymerizable for electroconducting polymers, and adding electron accepting substance and/or a hole accepting dopant to electroconducting compounds is well known in the art. See Ganzorig et al., Jp. J. Appl. Phys. (1999), Vol. 38, pp. L1348-L1350.

Therefore, the instant invention is prima facie obvious from the teachings of the prior arts One of ordinary skill in the art would have known to use the compounds as charge transporter at the time the invention was made. The motivation is from the teaching of Nakayama et al., that they have electroconductive property.

#### Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofiq A. Solola, PhD. JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

/Taofiq A. Solola/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

December 7, 2009